Exam Analysis on Manifolds

WIANVAR-07.2015-2016.2A April 4th, 2016, 9:00-12:00 hrs.

**1**, , ,

This exam consists of three assignments. You get 10 points for free.

## Assignment 1. (30 pt.)

Let  $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ , and let  $i: M \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be the inclusion map.

1. (8 pt.) Construct an atlas on M such that:

(i) M becomes a two-dimensional C<sup>∞</sup>-manifold;
(ii) the inclusion map i is a C<sup>∞</sup>-map.

Prove that this atlas satisfies both (i) and (ii).

- 2. (8 pt.) Let  $\sigma$  be the one-form on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  given by  $\sigma = x \, dx + y \, dy$ . Prove that  $i^*\sigma = 0$ .
- 3. (7 pt.) Let  $\Omega = dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$  be the volume form on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and let X be the vector field on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  given by

$$X = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Prove that  $\iota_X \Omega = x dy \wedge dz - y dx \wedge dz$ . (Recall that  $\iota_X \Omega$  is the two-form given by  $\iota_X \Omega(Y, Z) = \Omega(X, Y, Z)$ .)

4. (7 pt.) Prove that  $i^*(\iota_X \Omega)$  is a nowhere zero two-form on M.

#### Assignment 2. (30 pt.)

Let M be a compact connected 2n-dimensional manifold without boundary, and let  $\omega$  be a two-form on M.

In the following assignments,  $\omega_k = \underbrace{\omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega}_{k \text{ factors}}$ , for integers  $k \ge 1$ .

- 1. (8 pt.) Prove: if  $\omega$  is closed, then for  $k \ge 1$ , the 2k-form  $\omega_k$  is also closed.
- 2. (8 pt.) Prove: if  $\omega$  is exact, then for  $k \ge 1$ , the 2k-form  $\omega_k$  is also exact.

In the following two assignments, assume that  $\omega_n$  is a nowhere zero 2n-form on M.

- 3. (8 pt.) Prove that  $\omega$  is not exact.
- 4. (6 pt.) Prove that M is not contractible to a point.

Assignment 3 on next page

Assignment 3. (30 pt.)

Let  $M = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ . We turn M into a Riemannian two-manifold by equipping it with the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , defined by

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{v \cdot w}{h(x, y)^2},$$

for  $v, w \in T_pM$ , where  $h: M \to \mathbb{R}$  is a positive C<sup>2</sup>-function on M, and  $v \cdot w$  is the standard inner product of v and w on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

- 1. (5 pt.) Prove that there is a differentiable function  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\{F_1, F_2\}$ , with  $F_1 = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  and  $F_2 = f \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ , is an (orthonormal) moving frame on M.
- 2. (6 pt.) Determine the coframe  $\{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2\}$  of this moving frame (i.e., express these one-forms in terms of dx and dy).
- 3. (10 pt.) Determine the connection form  $\omega_{12}$  of the moving frame  $\{F_1, F_2\}$ .
- 4. (9 pt.) Determine the Gaussian curvature K(x, y) at  $(x, y) \in M$ , and prove that K = -1 for  $h(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} (1 x^2 y^2)$ .

# Solutions

#### Assignment 1.

1. Let  $U_1 = (0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $U_2 = (-\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{1}{2}\pi) \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $f_i : U_i \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be given by

$$f_i(u, v) = (\cos u, \sin u, v).$$

We shall prove that  $\{(u_1, f_1), (u_2, f_2)\}$  defines a C<sup> $\infty$ </sup>-atlas on M. First observe that  $V := f_1(u_1) \cap f_2(u_2)$  consists of two connected components. More precisely,  $(u, v) \in f_1^{-1}(V)$  iff  $0 < u < \frac{1}{2}\pi$  or  $\frac{3}{2}\pi < u < 2\pi$ . Similarly,  $(u, v) \in f_2^{-1}(V)$  iff  $-\frac{1}{2}\pi < u < 0$  or  $0 < u < \frac{1}{2}\pi$ . Furthermore, if  $f_1(u, v) = f_2(u', v')$ , then v' = v and u' = u modulo  $2\pi$ . A careful analysis shows that, for  $(u, v) \in f_1^{-1}(V)$ , we have

$$f_2^{-1} \circ f_1(u, v) = egin{cases} (u, v), & ext{if } 0 < u < rac{1}{2}\pi, \ (u - 2\pi, v), & ext{if } rac{3}{2}\pi < u < 2\pi. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,  $f_2^{-1} \circ f_1 : f_1^{-1}(V) \to f_2^{-1}(V)$  is  $C^{\infty}$ . Its inverse  $f_1^{-1} \circ f_2 : f_2^{-1}(V) \to f_1^{-1}(V)$  is also  $C^{\infty}$ .

To prove that the inclusion map is  $C^{\infty}$ , observe that  $id^{-1} \circ i \circ f_j : U_j \to \mathbb{R}^3$  is equal to  $g_j : U_j \to \mathbb{R}^3$ , defined by  $g_j(u, v) = (\cos u, \sin u, v)$ . Note that on the manifold  $\mathbb{R}^3$ we use the atlas  $(\mathbb{R}^3, id)$ . Also note that  $f_j$  and  $g_j$  only differ in the sense that they have different ranges. Since  $g_j$  is  $C^{\infty}$ , the claim follows.

2. We shall prove that both local representatives  $f_j^*(i^*\sigma)$ , j = 1, 2, are zero. If f is either of the maps  $f_1$  or  $f_2$ , then  $f^*(i^*\sigma) = (i \circ f)^*(\sigma)$ , and  $(i \circ f)(u, v) = (\cos u, \sin u, v)$ . Hence,  $f^*(i^*\sigma) = \cos u d(\cos u) + \sin u d(\sin u) = -\cos u \sin u du + \sin u \cos u du = 0$ .

3. Let  $\omega = \iota_X \Omega$ , then

$$\omega = \omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \, dx \wedge dy + \omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}) \, dx \wedge dz + \omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}) \, dy \wedge dz.$$

Therefore, the claim follows from

$$\begin{split} &\omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\frac{\partial}{\partial y}) = \Omega(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\frac{\partial}{\partial y}) = 0, \\ &\omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\frac{\partial}{\partial z}) = \Omega(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\frac{\partial}{\partial z}) = -y, \\ &\omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\frac{\partial}{\partial z}) = \Omega(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\frac{\partial}{\partial z}) = x. \end{split}$$

4. The local representatives of  $\omega$  are

$$(i \circ f_i)^*(\omega) = -\sin u d(\cos u) \wedge dv + \cos u d(\sin u) \wedge dv = du \wedge dv.$$

Since these local representatives are nowhere zero,  $\omega$  is nowhere zero.

### Assignment 2.

The proofs in parts 1 and 2 are by induction, using  $\omega_k = \omega \wedge \omega_{k-1}$  for k > 1.

1. Since  $d\omega = 0$ , the claim is obvious for k = 1. So let k > 1, and suppose  $d\omega_{k-1} = 0$ . Then, since deg  $\omega_{k-1} = 2(k-1)$  is even:

$$d\omega_k = d\omega \wedge \omega_{k-1} + \omega \wedge d\omega_{k-1} = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\omega_k$  is closed for all integers  $k \ge 1$ .

2. Since  $\omega$  is exact (say  $\omega = d\eta$ ), the claim is obvious for k = 1. So let k > 1, and suppose  $\omega_{k-1}$  is exact. This implies  $d\omega_{k-1} = 0$ , so

$$\omega_{k} = d\eta \wedge \omega_{k-1} = d(\eta \wedge \omega_{k-1}) - \eta \wedge (d\omega_{k-1}) = d(\eta \wedge \omega_{k-1}).$$

Therefore,  $\omega_k$  is exact for all integers  $k \ge 1$ .

3. Suppose  $\omega$  is exact. According to part 2,  $\omega_n$  is exact, say  $\omega_n = d\eta$  for some (2n-1)-form  $\eta$  on M. The manifold M is orientable, since  $\omega_n$  is a nowhere zero 2n-form on M. Therefore, we may use the theorem of Stokes to derive

$$0 \neq \int_{M} \omega_n = \int_{M} d\eta = \int_{\partial M} \eta = 0,$$

since  $\partial M = \emptyset$ . (The first inequality follows from the fact that M is connected.) This contradiction proves that  $\omega$  is not exact.

4. Since  $d\omega_n = 0$  (being a (2n+1)-form on a 2n-dimensional manifold),  $\omega_n$  is closed. Suppose M is contractible to a point. Then  $\omega_n$  is exact according to Poincaré's Lemma. According to Parts 2 and 3 this is a contradiction, so M is not contractible to a point.

#### Assignment 3.

1. Since 
$$\langle f \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, f \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \rangle = 0$$
, and  $\langle f \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle = \langle f \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, f \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \rangle = \frac{f^2}{h^2}$ , we take  $f = h$ .

2. Let  $\vartheta_1$  and  $\vartheta_2$  be the dual one-forms, then

$$\vartheta_1 = \vartheta_1(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}) dx + \vartheta_1(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}) dy = \frac{1}{h} dx.$$

Similarly:  $\vartheta_2 = \frac{1}{h} dy$ .

3. Let  $\omega_{12} = P dx + Q dy$ . To determine P and Q, we use the identities

$$\mathrm{d}\vartheta_1 = \omega_{12} \wedge \vartheta_2$$
 en  $\mathrm{d}\vartheta_2 = -\omega_{12} \wedge \vartheta_1$ .

These yield

$$\frac{h_y}{h^2} dx \wedge dy = \frac{1}{h} P \, dx \wedge dy,$$
$$-\frac{h_x}{h^2} dx \wedge dy = \frac{1}{h} Q \, dx \wedge dy.$$

Therefore,

$$P = \frac{h_y}{h}, \quad Q = -\frac{h_x}{h}, \tag{1}$$

SO

$$\omega_{12}=\frac{1}{h}(h_y\,dx-h_x\,dy).$$

4. Since  $d\omega_{12}=-K\,\vartheta_1\wedge\vartheta_2,$  and

$$d\omega_{12} = (-P_y + Q_x) \, dx \wedge dy = h^2 (-P_y + Q_x) \, \vartheta_1 \wedge \vartheta_2.$$

we have

$$K = h^2 (P_y - Q_x).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Substitution in (1) and (2) yields

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{h} \left( \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}} \right) - \left( \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}}^2 + \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{y}}^2 \right).$$

If  $h(x,y)=\frac{1}{2}\,(1-x^2-y^2),$  then K=-1.